## BAXTER EQUATION FOR BOUNDARY INTEGRABILITY

#### Julius

Based on JHEP 07 (2020) 042 with David Grabner and Nikolay Gromov arXiv:2012.xxxxxx with Nikolay Gromov and Nicolò Primi arXiv:2012.xxxxxx

KING'S COLLEGE LONDON

NOVEMBER 26, 2020



#### THE CUSPED MALDACENA-WILSON LOOP



$$W = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{P} \exp \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, 4 \, \pi g \left( i \, A \cdot x'(t) + \Phi_{1} |x'(t)| \right) \times Z(0)^{J} \times \operatorname{P} \exp \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \, 4 \, \pi g \left( i \, A \cdot x'(s) + (\Phi_{1} \cos \theta + \Phi_{2} \sin \theta) |x'(s)| \right)$$

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_3 + i \Phi_4)$$

$$\langle W \rangle = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\epsilon}\right)^{\Delta}$$

#### THE CUSP AS A LOCAL OPERATOR



- Wilson loop with circular *n*-gon contour  $\rightarrow$  *n*-point function of local operators (Drukker and Forini 2011; Cavaglia, Gromov, and Levkovich-Maslyuk 2018; Dorn 2020)
- Insert local operators at these cusps (Cavaglia, Gromov, and Levkovich-Maslyuk 2018; McGovern 2019)

#### LADDERS LIMIT



g o O, heta o i  $\infty$  with  $\hat{g}^2 \equiv g^2 \exp{(-i heta)}$  kept fixed (Erickson, Semenoff,

and Zarembo 2000; Correa, Henn, Maldacena and Sever 2012)

- Gluons and fermions decouple
- Only the contributions with the highest power of cos θ will survive:
  - For L = 0, only "ladder" diagrams contribute
  - ► For *L* > 0, only "fishnet" diagrams contribute
- Φ<sub>2</sub> drops out

### **THE OPEN FISHCHAIN**

#### **CFT WAVEFUNCTION**



#### FISHCHAIN HAMILTONIAN

Graph-destroying Operator

$$\partial_t \partial_s \prod_{j=1}^{L} \Box_{y_j} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l} \psi_{\alpha} = (4\hat{g}^2)^{L+1} \frac{|y'_0| |y'_{L+1}|}{\prod_{i=0}^{L} (y_i - y_{i+1})^2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{l-1} \psi_{\alpha}$$

4D Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{(4\hat{g}^2)^{L+1}} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{L} (y_i - y_{i+1})^2}{|y'_0| |y'_{L+1}|} \partial_t \partial_s \prod_{j=1}^{L} \Box_{y_j} - 1$$

Embed in 6D

$$L = \frac{|\dot{X}_{0}||\dot{X}_{L+1}|}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\frac{\dot{X}_{i}^{2}}{2}\right) + \prod_{k=0}^{L} (X_{k} \cdot X_{k+1})^{-\frac{1}{L+1}}$$

Similar construction to (Gromov and Sever 2019)

#### **EQUATIONS OF MOTION**

- We have a multi-particle classically integrable system
- Bulk particles y<sub>i</sub> have four degrees of freedom fired mys
- Boundary particles constrained to move on light-rays in 6d
  implemented by introducing mirror particles





#### **TRANSFER MATRIX**

$$\hat{\mathbb{T}}^{4}(u) = \operatorname{tr} \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{L}^{4}(-u) \cdot \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{L-1}^{4}(-u) \dots \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{1}^{4}(-u) \cdot \hat{\mathbb{K}}^{4}(u)$$
$$\cdot \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{1}^{4}(u) \cdot \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{2}^{4}(u) \dots \hat{\mathbb{L}}_{L}^{4}(u) \cdot G^{4} \cdot \hat{\mathbb{K}}^{4}(u) \cdot G^{4\mathsf{T}}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}^{1}(v) &= 1\\ \mathbb{T}^{4}(v) &\equiv \frac{P_{L+1}^{4}(v^{2})}{\hat{g}^{2L+2}}\\ \mathbb{T}^{6}(v) &\equiv A(2v)\frac{v^{2}+1}{v^{2}}\frac{P_{2L+2}^{6}(v^{2})}{\hat{g}^{4L+4}}\\ \mathbb{T}^{\bar{4}}(v) &= A(2v)A(2v+i)A(2v-i)\frac{(v^{2}+\frac{9}{4})(v^{2}+\frac{1}{4})^{2L+1}P_{L+1}^{\bar{4}}(v^{2})}{\hat{g}^{6L+6}}\\ \mathbb{T}^{\bar{1}}(v) &= A^{2}(2v)A(2v+i)A(2v-i)A(2v+2i)A(2v-2i)\frac{(v^{2}+4)(v^{2}+1)^{2L+2}v^{4L+2}}{\hat{g}^{8L+8}} \end{split}$$

- **P** $_{L+1}^{\lambda}$  are polynomials which contain other non-trivial integrals of motion
- 4L + 2 conserved charges in total, which equates the number of degrees of freedom of the problem, guaranteeing integrability

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}^{\mathbf{1}}(v+i)Q(v+2i) &+ \mathbb{T}^{\mathbf{4}}(v+i/2)Q(v+i) \\ &+ \mathbb{T}^{\mathbf{6}}(v)Q(v) + \mathbb{T}^{\bar{\mathbf{4}}}(v-i/2)Q(v-i) + \mathbb{T}^{\bar{\mathbf{1}}}(v-i)Q(v-2i) = 0 \; . \end{split}$$

After identifying  $Q(v) = \frac{e^{\pi L v}q(v)\Gamma(-iv)\hat{g}^{2i(L+1)v}\Gamma(iv+1)^{-2L-1}}{\Gamma(-iv-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(iv+2)}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{2L+2}^{6}(v^{2})}{v^{2L+3}}q(v) &= \\ &-(v+i)^{2L+1}q(v+2i) + \frac{v+\frac{i}{2}}{v(v+i)}P_{L+1}^{4}\left((v+\frac{i}{2})^{2}\right)q(v+i) \\ &-(v-i)^{2L+1}q(v-2i) + \frac{v-\frac{i}{2}}{v(v-i)}P_{L+1}^{\overline{4}}\left((v-\frac{i}{2})^{2}\right)q(v-i) \end{aligned}$$



### L=1, q=0



- For L = 1, we should get only one state
- Infinitely many excited states
- Have same R-charge as *L* = 1 case, so can't be more othogonal insertions
- Realised by (Cavaglia, Gromov, and Levkovich-Maslyuk 2018) that these correspond to "parallel" insertions
- Can take the straight-line limit of the cusp and obtain the 1d defect CFT that lives on the 1/2-BPS Maldacena-Wilson line

### **DEFECT CFT**

$$\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Pexp} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt (i\mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} + \Phi_{||} |\dot{\mathbf{x}}|)$$
 (1)

- $SO(5)_R$  out of the  $SO(6)_R$
- SO(2, 1) × SO(3) of SO(2, 4)
- **Together with fermionic symmetries, it preserves**  $OSp(4^*|4)$
- We are interested in operators that transform under the singlet of the SO(5)<sub>R</sub>
- These correspond to excited states (labelled by J) with L = 0
- Can only do second-principles derivation of Baxter equation from QSC



At weak coupling, we have only one singlet  $-\Phi_{||}$ 

• At strong coupling, the lowest dimension singlet  $-\delta_{ab}y^ay^b$ Indeed the QSC interpolates between these two states



#### WEAK COUPLING

Our **five-loop** result for  $\Delta \simeq 1 + g^2 \gamma_1 + \cdots + g^{10} \gamma_5$ 

$$\begin{split} \gamma_1 &= 4 \\ \gamma_2 &= -16 \\ \gamma_3 &= -\frac{56\pi^4}{45} + 128 \;, \\ \gamma_4 &= \frac{272}{135}\pi^6 + \frac{128}{3}\pi^2 - \frac{64}{3}\pi^2\zeta_3 + 128\zeta_3 - 160\zeta_5 - 1280 \;, \\ \gamma_5 &= -\frac{7328}{2835}\pi^8 - \frac{64}{2835}\pi^6 - \frac{896}{45}\pi^4 - \frac{2560}{3}\pi^2 + \frac{64}{3}\pi^4\zeta_3 + \frac{512}{3}\pi^2\zeta_3 \\ &+ \frac{448}{3}\pi^2\zeta_5 - 384(\zeta_3)^2 - 1024\zeta_3 - 640\zeta_5 + 2688\zeta_7 + 14336 \end{split}$$

■ Up to two-loops by (Alday and Maldacena 2007; Brüser, Caron-Huot, and Henn 2018)

#### Our four-order result at strong coupling

$$\Delta = 2 - \frac{5}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{295}{24} \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{305}{16} \frac{1}{\lambda^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

- First two orders by (Giombi, Roiban, and Tseytlin 2017)
- Third order (cf. Meneghelli LIJC 2020)

### GENERAL J



- We have shown that the Feynman Diagrams of the CFT wavefunction in the classical limit are correctly resummed by a discretised open string — the open fishchain
- It is a spin-chain of particles which is integrable both classically and at the quantum level
- $\blacksquare$  Derived AdS/CFT for these special observables in  $\mathcal{N}=4$  SYM

CFT Wavefunction  $\longleftrightarrow$  Bethe Wavefunction Q-functions  $\longleftrightarrow$  Baxter Polynomials  $\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_L | \psi \rangle = q(v_1)q(v_2)\cdots q(v_L)$ 

# THANK YOU :)